Addition to Postulate 7 (general claim)	
Given a wavefunction $Y = \lambda Y_1 + \beta Y_2$, Y_1 and	Yı
Perfectly normalized, and $\int_{-10}^{+1} Y_1 dx = 0$ (Orthornormal)	
	You can derive Post
If $Y_1(x,t) = e^{ik_1x - iwt}$; $Y_2 = e^{ik_1x - iwt} + e^{ik_2x - iwt}$	
$Y_{2}(x,t)$ contains components from Y_{1} , then the probable the system in $Y_{1}(x,t)=e^{ik_{1}x-i\omega t}$ is not $(\lambda)^{2}$ any more	bility of e,
it becomes $ A + \frac{\beta}{12} ^2$	
Similar rules in vectors: (x,y) denote a vector.	
$C = (a_1, 0) + (a_2, b_2)$; We can't say the v x in vector C is a_1 , unless $a_2 = 0$, which make two vector perpendicular b_2 a_1 x	alue of these
Junctions are perpendicular to each other. The Dodget	two
Inner Product	

The definition of inner product comes from linear algebra.

Inner product of $\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} = (x_1, y_1, z_1) \cdot (x_2, y_2, z_2)$ $= x_1 x_2 + y_1 y_2 + z_1 z_2$ If x, y, z can be complex number, then $\vec{a}^{\times} \cdot \vec{b}$ You can expand this into continuous function:

 $\ln \operatorname{Ind}\left(Y_{1}^{\star}(x), Y_{2}(x)\right) = Y_{1}^{\star}(x_{1}) \cdot Y_{2}(x_{1}) + Y_{1}^{\star}(x_{1}) \cdot Y_{1}(x_{2}) + Y_{1}^{\star}(x_{3}) \cdot Y_{2}(x_{3}) + \dots$ $\rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Y_{1}^{\star} Y_{2} dx.$

This is ofter called Hilbert Space

Note 2: How do you do the measurement? Wave-function is not something you can measure.

Again $\psi = de^{ik_1x - i\omega t} + \beta e^{ik_2x - i\omega t} = d\psi_1 + \beta \psi_2$

We can measure momentum of the system. If the momentum is $\hbar k_1$, then correspond to ψ ,

Later we will talk more about measurement.

Operator and Schordinger equation.
Let's take a closer book at plane wave, as the superposition of plane waves can describe any wave function.
This time we also include "time".
Y(x,t) = eikx-iwt
For this plane wave, we know its momentum is
$P = h = \frac{h}{\lambda}$
We know the energy is E= \(\tau \cdot \).
We also know the energy is: $E = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(x) ; V(x) = 0 \text{for simplicity}$
Now to obtain the momentum of the plane wave, we can look into ikx in the waveform, but we can also
apply an operation of the plane wave: $\frac{t}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \gamma(x,t) = \frac{t}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} e^{ikx-i\omega t} = tke^{ikx-i\omega t} = p \gamma(x,t)$
operator number
We call $\frac{t}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \hat{\beta}$ is the momentum operator.

Definition: If $\hat{p} \psi(x,t) = p \psi(x,t)$, where p is a number
Y(x,t) is an eigenstate of momentum, and p is an eigenvalue of momentum operator
The name, "eigen" comes from linear algebra, where if
$M \cdot X = \lambda X$, M is matrix, X is vector, λ is a number, then X is an eigenvector of M .
A Physically, What does eigenstate mean?
The eigenstate of \widehat{p} , has a well-defined momentum. Every time you measure the momentum of this eigenstate, your measurement value will be the eigenvalue, $ 0\widehat{v} $.
So: $Y_{i}(x,t) = e^{ik_{i}x-i\omega t}$ is eigenstate, momentum: hk_{i}
$Y(x,t) = \alpha Y_1(x,t) + \beta Y_2(x,t) = \alpha e^{ik_1x - i\omega t} + \beta e^{ik_2x - i\omega t}$
is not an eigenstate of P.
PY(x,t) = xtk, eikix-iwt + ptk2 eik2x-iwt
= h(k1. 2eik1x-iwt + k2. Beik2x-iwt)
F Pu (xeikix-iwt + peikrx-iwt)
$\neq g \forall (x,t).$

It makes sense as $Y(x,t) = \chi Y_1(x,t) + \beta Y_2(x,t)$ has some uncertainty in momentum. $\hbar k_1$ or $\hbar k_2$.

In general: Â îs an operator, x is a number, Y(x,t) is a wave-function,

If $\hat{A} Y(x,t) = \alpha Y(x,t)$, we say

Y(x,t) is the eigenstate of \hat{A} , χ is the eigenvalue of \hat{A}

2. Position operator: it turns out position operator is just $\hat{x} = x$. And its eigenstate is δ -function.

(We are not digging into this, it is rather complicated in math)

3. Next thing we want to get from a plane wave:

Energy: hw;

Y(x,t)=eikx-iwt: follow the same idea, we have:

 $i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(x,t) = i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(e^{ikx-i\omega t}) = i\hbar(-i\omega)e^{ikx-i\omega t} = \hbar\omega e^{ikx-i\omega t}$ = $\bar{E} \cdot e^{ikx-i\omega t}$.

It looks like: it is the energy operator.

But, there's also another way to write down energy: $E = \frac{\hat{P}^2}{2m} + V(\hat{X}) = \hat{H}$ (Hanniltonian) kinetic energy potential energy. Interesting: How about just let energy equals to energy? $4 + \gamma(x,t) = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \gamma(x,t)$ And this is Schordinger quatim. This equation must be right, as it's energy = energy. But whether you can solve something useful from it is yet to be proved. Sidenote: similarly, you can write down the quantum have equation In relativity we have: $E = \int m_0^2 C^4 + p^2 C^2 \qquad ; E^2 = m_0^2 C^4 + p^2 C^2$ rest energy kiretic energy

 $So: \hat{\mathcal{L}}^2 \mathcal{V} = \left(i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^2 \mathcal{V} = \left(m_0^2 c^2 - \hbar^2 c^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\right) \mathcal{V} = -\hbar \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \mathcal{V}$

$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{m_0^2 c^2 - \sigma^2}{t^2} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma t^2} \right) \psi(x, t) = 0.$
This is Klein-Gordon quation. $\frac{3-D}{3x^2} \rightarrow \frac{3^2}{3x^2} + \frac{3^2}{3x^2} + \frac{3^2}{3x^2}$
Notice this is a four-dimensional equation,
Your Coordinate: (x, y, z, ict)
Now let's backup a little bit and extract some general posturlade from above:
Postulate 4:
Every observable attribute of a physical system (e.g. momentum, position, angular momentum and energy) is described by an operator that acts on the wavefunctions that describe the system. Hermitian:
extension 4.1
For every operator, there are special states that are not changed (except by a constant factor) by the action of an operator,
$\hat{A} Y_a = \alpha Y_a$.
Ya is the eigenstate and a is the eigenvalue.

Note: what does Hermitian mean? In physics, it means the eigenvalue of this operator must be real. In mothemeotics form, it means $\int Y_2^* (\hat{A} Y_1) dx = (\hat{A} Y_2)^* Y_1 dx ; Y_1, Y_2 \text{ are any two functions.}$ Another name for Hermitian operator is self-adjoint operator This is adjoint operator of A, is defined as: $\int (\hat{A}^{\dagger} Y_2)^* Y_1 dx = \int Y_2^* \hat{A} Y_1 dx.$ i. $\hat{A}^{+} = \hat{A}^{-}$ for Hermitian operator. (Ajoint of \hat{A}^{-} is \hat{A}^{-} itself) It's rather complicated to establish the connection between the physics picture and the mathematics picture... Need serious linear algebra. Howevork: Show $\hat{p} = \frac{t}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ is Hermitian operator, where you can write $y_{ix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2a}} \int_{a}^{ab} \hat{Y}(k) e^{ikx} dk$; $y_{ix}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2a}} \int_{ab}^{ab} \hat{Y}(k) e^{ikx} dx$.

Note 2: Because the operator of an observable attribute is Hermitian, there exists a set of eigen-functions 4, where Ya,n are orthonormal to each other. $\int Y_{a,n_1}^{*} \cdot Y_{a,n_2} dx = \delta_{n_1,n_2}; \quad \delta = 1, \text{ When } n_1 = n_2$ $= 0, \text{ When } n_1 \neq n_2.$ Simple proof: (no degeneracy) $\int \mathcal{Y}_{a,n_1}^{\star} \cdot \hat{A} \mathcal{Y}_{a,n_1} dx = \int (\hat{A} \mathcal{Y}_{a,n_1})^{\star} \cdot \mathcal{Y}_{a,n_1} dx$ $\int \mathcal{Y}_{a_1n_1}^{\star} \mathcal{A}_{n_2} \cdot \mathcal{Y}_{a_1n_2} dx = \int a_{n_1}^{\star} \mathcal{Y}_{a_n}^{\star} \cdot \mathcal{Y}_{a_1n_2} \cdot dx$ $a_{n_1} \int Y_{a,n_1}^* Y_{a,n_2} dx = a_{n_1} \int Y_{a,n_1}^* Y_{a,n_2} dx$ $=) \left(Q_{n_2} - Q_{n_1} \right) \int Y_{a,n_1} \cdot Y_{a,n_2} dx = 0$ If $a_{n_1} \neq a_{n_2}$ (two different eigenvalue, then $\int Y_{a,n_1}^{\times} Y_{a,n_2} dx = 0$. If $An_i = An_i$, we then have the freedom to choose

Yain, and Yain such that they are orthonormal (Not

Important in this class)

Example: e^{ikx} is reigen-function of \hat{p} . e^{ik_1x} and e^{ik_2x} are orthonormal: $\int e^{ick_1-k_2} x^x dx = 0$ When $k_1 = 0$

Postulate 5: The time evolution of a quantum system obeys Schoodinger equation: $\hat{H} Y(x,t) = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} Y(x,t);$ $\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + V(\hat{x}); \quad \hat{p} = \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}.$ Ostulate 6: The only possible result of the measurement of an observable A is one of the eigenvalues of the Corresponding operator Â. This is the origin of " ghantum behavior". Absolutely recessary Example 1: In electron double-shit experiment, we are measuring observable x, position. The corresponding operator is \hat{x} , eigenvalue is x_0 , eigenvalue is x_0 , eigenstate is $\delta(x-x_0)$. Result: individud electron is resolved. Example 2: "Indirect" measurement:

Hydrogen atom spectrum: the photon frequency

tells us the energy difference of each

eigenstate. In this case is a singlereigenstate. In this case, we are measuring observable energy, E, the corresponding operator is H, eigenvalue is En (with arbitany zero point), and eigenstate is Yn, where ĤYn = EnYn.

Postulate 7: When measuring an observable A on state Y, the probability of obtaining eigenvalue an is given by $|X_n|^2$, where

 $Y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n Y_{a,n}$

The expected value when measuring an observable A on state Y, is A > 0 Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A + Y + A +

Simple proof: $\langle A \rangle \equiv \sum_{n} p_{n} a_{n}$; $p_{n} = |a_{n}|^{2}$ is the probability of a_{n}

As Yain is orthornormal to each other

 $\int \mathcal{Y}^*(A\mathcal{Y})dx = \int \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^* \mathcal{Y}^* \cdot \left(A \geq \alpha_n \mathcal{Y}_{a,n}\right) dx$ $= \int_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_n^* \chi_{a,n}^* \cdot Q_m \stackrel{b}{\underset{m=1}{\sim}} \chi_m \chi_{a,m} dx$ $= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^* \alpha_m \alpha_m \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Y_{a,n} \cdot Y_{a,m} dx$ $= \sum_{n=1}^{\nu} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left| \chi_n^{\times} \chi_m \, \Omega_m \, \delta_{m,n} \right| = \sum_{n=1}^{\nu} \left| \chi_n \right|^2 \cdot \Omega_n.$

This is a very useful equation.

Homework: Calculate momentum for certain wone function.

Extension of 7.2

Immediately after the measurement of A with a result of Gan, the state of the system becomes Yan.

Commert: This is necessary, as this is the only way their when you repeatly measure one object, it gives you the same measurement result.

Note: Postulate 7 and postulate 2 can actually be combined.

These are all the postulates we need for quantum mechanics. It's your job to get super comfortable with them through homework practise, such that you don't have to think about them when you use them (just like F = ma).

Simple version: wave function Y(x,t) describle the system, it follows $\hat{H}Y(x,t) = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}Y(x,t)$ Schoolinger equation. One cannot measure Y(x,t), but

Only measure physics attribute (x, p, E...), each attribute corresponds to a Hermitian operator \hat{A} . \hat{A} has a set of eigenfunction $\hat{A}Y_n = a_nY_n$; Wave-function can be expressed as linear combinition of Y_n

 $Y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \cdot Y_n$, When measure A, one can only get reigenvalue a_n , with probability of $|x_n|^2$. The expected value for A is $(A) = \int y^* A y dx$.